

# Airtight projects

---



Guest

I would if you would consider optionally allowing slightly less airtightness between projects.

Let us as that Project B uses classes from Project A.

When you compile in Project B, either out of date project A classes get automatically recompiled, or you get warned you are using out of date classes from project A.

I don't know how many hours I have wasted because I was unwittingly using out of date code.

--

Roedy Green Canadian Mind Products

<http://mindprod.com>

Computers are useless. They can only give you answers.

~ Pablo Picasso (born: 1881-10-25 died: 1973-04-08 at age: 91)

Airtight projects



[Dmitry Jemerov](#) 11,708 posts since

Aug 19, 2002 1. **Re: Airtight projects** Jan 28, 2010 3:42 PM

Hello Roedy,

Why don't you use modules in this case?

I would if you would consider optionally allowing slightly less airtightness between projects.

Let us as that Project B uses classes from Project A.

When you compile in Project B, either out of date project A classes get automatically recompiled, or you get warned you are using out of date classes from project A.

I don't know how many hours I have wasted because I was unwittingly using out of date code.

--

Dmitry Jemerov

Development Lead

JetBrains, Inc.

Airtight projects

<http://www.jetbrains.com/>

"Develop with Pleasure!"



Guest 2. **Re: Airtight projects** Feb 3, 2010 2:32 AM

On Thu, 28 Jan 2010 12:42:23 +0000 (UTC), Dmitry Jemerov

[<yole@jetbrains.com>](mailto:yole@jetbrains.com) wrote, quoted or indirectly quoted someone who said :

>

>Why don't you use modules in this case?

There is a project for code that will work with each JDK 1.1 .. 1.6

Since my code is opensource, and distributed as about 100 different bundlings, I like to keep each package limited to as old as possible a JDK.

--

Roedy Green Canadian Mind Products

<http://mindprod.com>

Computers are useless. They can only give you answers.

Airtight projects

~ Pablo Picasso (born: 1881-10-25 died: 1973-04-08 at age: 91)



[Dmitry Jemerov](#) 11,708 posts since

Aug 19, 2002 3. **Re: Airtight projects** Feb 3, 2010 1:25 PM

Hello Roedy,

>> Why don't you use modules in this case?

>>

There is a project for code that will work with each JDK 1.1 .. 1.6

Since my code is opensource, and distributed as about 100 different bundlings, I like to keep each package limited to as old as possible a JDK.

So how exactly does this preclude you from using modules? You can set a different JDK for each module.

--

Dmitry Jemerov

Development Lead

JetBrains, Inc.

<http://www.jetbrains.com/>

Airtight projects

"Develop with Pleasure!"



Guest 4. **Re: Airtight projects** Feb 9, 2010 12:36 AM

On Wed, 3 Feb 2010 10:25:54 +0000 (UTC), Dmitry Jemerov

<[yole@jetbrains.com](mailto:yole@jetbrains.com)> wrote, quoted or indirectly quoted someone who

said :

>So how exactly does this preclude you from using modules? You can set a different

>JDK for each module.

I was under the delusion a project had to be the same target.

reorganising should solve my problem. Thanks.

--

Roedy Green Canadian Mind Products

<http://mindprod.com>

Every compilable program in a sense works. The problem is with your unrealistic expectations on what it will do.